

TURCOLOGICA

Herausgegeben von Lars Johanson

Band 114

2018

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

The Rouen Meeting

Studies on Turkic Structures
and Language Contacts

Edited by
Mehmet Ali Akıncı
and Kutlay Yağmur

2018

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek
Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen
Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet
über <http://dnb.dnb.de> abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek
The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche
Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the internet
at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

For further information about our publishing program consult our
website <http://www.harrassowitz-verlag.de>

© Otto Harrassowitz GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden 2018
This work, including all of its parts, is protected by copyright.
Any use beyond the limits of copyright law without the permission
of the publisher is forbidden and subject to penalty. This applies
particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage
and processing in electronic systems.
Printed on permanent/durable paper.
Printing and binding: Hubert & Co., Göttingen
Printed in Germany

ISSN 0177-4743
ISBN 978-3-447-11050-1

Contents

Preface and acknowledgements.....	ix
-----------------------------------	----

Part I Turkic structures

Turkic relatives revisited.....	3
<i>Éva Á. Csató</i>	
On the syntax of Mishar Tatar.....	19
<i>Arman Eleusin</i>	
The verbal cooperative-reciprocal suffix in Turkic languages and the development of its different values.....	29
<i>Ophelie Gandon</i>	
Encoding of activated participants in Iranian Turkmen oral narratives.....	45
<i>Abdollah Nazari, Ismo Routamaa & Judith Routamaa</i>	
Genitive-nominative alternation in Kyrgyz.....	59
<i>Dariya Safina</i>	
The optative in Northern Azeri.....	73
<i>Monika Rind-Pawlowski</i>	
The significance of vowel insertion in Ottoman Turkish.....	87
<i>Mary Ann Walter</i>	
Aspect and evidentiality in Azeri.....	95
<i>Gita Zareikar</i>	

Part II Turkish linguistic issues

Patterns and frequency: Evidence from the Turkish National Corpus.....	107
<i>Mustafa Aksan & Yeşim Aksan</i>	
Turkish internally caused change of state verbs as a heterogeneous verb class: Evidence from causer phrase distribution and transitivity.....	119
<i>Feyza Balakbabalar</i>	

An analysis of vocabulary in Turkish textbooks before and after constructivist approach on the basis of different variables.....	131
<i>Şükran Dilidüzgün</i>	
Online processing of subject-verb agreement in Turkish.....	143
<i>Barış Kahraman</i>	
Verb-verb compounding in Turkish.....	155
<i>Yuu Kuribayashi</i>	
Learning how to spell in Turkish: Evidence from third graders.....	165
<i>Ecehan Sönmez, Belma Haznedar & Nalan Babür</i>	
Genericity in Turkish and the restrictions on the bare singular and plural forms: An experimental study.....	177
<i>Dilek Uygun-Gökmen</i>	
Processing second language inflectional morphology in Turkish.....	189
<i>Serkan Uygun & Ayşe Gürel</i>	
Taboo words in the creation of humour.....	205
<i>Selma Elyildirim</i>	
Part III Turkish language contacts	
Relative clauses in the written texts of French- and Turkish-bilingual and monolingual children and teenagers.....	217
<i>Mehmet-Ali Akinci</i>	
Characteristics of maternal questioning style in Turkish.....	231
<i>Feyza Altinkamış</i>	
Age factor in syntactic patterns of code-switching in Turkish-Arabic bilingual talk.....	241
<i>Ayça Dinçer & Meral Şeker</i>	
Vocabulary development in German-Turkish language contact.....	253
<i>Elke G. Montanari & Roman Abel</i>	

Why do Turkish-Dutch bilinguals report like the Dutch do? Contact-induced change in reported speech of Dutch Turkish: Heading from indirect to a more direct speech type.....	267
<i>Pelin Onar Valk</i>	
First language assessment of Turkish-German and Turkish-French bilingual children with specific language impairment	283
<i>Nebiye Hilal Şan</i>	
Path encoding in the verbal periphery in Turkish: Variation and constraints.....	299
<i>Till Woerfel</i>	
Educational and linguistic outcomes of Turkish immigrant language contact in Europe.....	313
<i>Kutlay Yağmur</i>	
List of contributors.....	325

Preface and acknowledgements

Most of the papers in this Volume are based on the 14th International Conference on Turkish Linguistics held at Rouen University, France. We thank all the contributors for their diligent work and also for their patience. The book contains three sections. In the first section titled ‘Turkic structures’, current linguistic issues in Turkic languages are discussed. In the second section, titled ‘Turkish linguistic issues’, researchers of Turkish linguistics present their findings on a rich variety of topics where linguistic analysis is the overarching element in all the contributions. In the third part, titled ‘Turkish language contacts’, research findings of the studies on Turkish language contacts in the immigration context are presented.

This book would have never been there without the support of a number of people. We owe many thanks to Professor Éva Á. Csató for her guidance, support and motivation all the way from the organization of the Conference to the publishing of this Volume. We are grateful to Professor Lars Johanson for considering this Book to be published in the prestigious *Turcologica* Series of Harrassowitz Verlag.

We also would like to thank Karin Berkhout, from the Department of Culture Studies at Tilburg University, for her very careful and professional layout work. Karin excellently converged all the papers into the same style sheet, which resulted in this uniform Volume. Thank you for your commitment, dear Karin.

Mehmet Ali Akıncı (Rouen University, France)
Kutlay Yağmur (Tilburg University, the Netherlands)

PART I

Turkic structures

On the syntax of Mishar Tatar

1. Introduction

Mishar Tatar, which has developed under the strong influence of the Russian language, exhibits deviations from the canonical word order of Turkic languages. However, Mishar Tatar is not homogeneous: there are approx. 15 sub-dialects of Mishar Tatar. The range of the linguistic norms of the Mishar Tatar covers the norms of the standard Tatar language, where the speaker of Mishar Tatar can be recognized only by his specific accent, as well as more peculiar linguistic norms, which exhibit the impact of other languages, especially of Russian. The sub-dialects which are situated most distant from the Republic Tatarstan often demonstrate syntactic characteristics, which deviate from the standard Tatar language and consequently from other Turkic languages. The syntax irregularities are especially conspicuous in the western sub-dialects of Mishar Tatar: Kuznetsk (MKuzn.), Temnikov (MTmn.), Lämбір (MLmb.) sub-dialects spoken in Mordovia and Penza Oblast of Russian Federation. These sub-dialects are the closest geographically to the origin area of Mishar Tatar.

Drawing comparisons between constituent order strategies in Mishar Tatar, other Turkic languages, and Russian, this study investigates the integration and functionality of copied structures on different levels.

In the following, we will limit our scope to those sub-dialects which expose deviations.



Figure 1: The distribution of the Mishar Tatar sub-dialects

2. Noun phrase: the adnominal genitive, compounds

Besides its predicative function, the suffix *+nkl* (< *+nlɣ-kl*) can also serve as a genitive or take part in the forming of compounds. The modifier mainly appears after its head. The systematic character of this phenomenon should be clearly indicated. The modified noun carries the possessive suffix only if the modifier is a definite noun:

- (1) *sāstrā-sī kiyāw-nīkī* (MTmn.)
 sister-POSS3 bridegroom-GEN
 ‘the sister of the bridegroom’

In case of nominal compounds, the modifier is marked by the suffix *+nkl*, whereas the modified noun doesn’t carry the possessive suffix:

- (2) *pr̄itstavit̄il sud-nīkī* (MKuzn.)
 representative court-GEN
 ‘court’s officer’

The strategy of placement of the genitive after the modified noun is not new in Turkic languages which have contact with European languages, but only the Mishar Tatar use the suffix *+nkl*, probably because this suffix has a normally predicative meaning and as a predicate appears after the noun it describes.

In some sub-dialects, e.g. Sergač (MSrg.), Čüpräle (MČpr.), the adnominal genitive appears generally in a prenominal position. However, the prenominal use in the sub-dialects MKuzn., MTmn., MLmb. is limited mainly to possessive pronouns:

- (3) *bīz-nīkī atakay big išt̄irugī kīšī idī* (MLmb.)
 we-GEN father very strict person PST.COP
 ‘Our father was a very strict person’

The postnominal placement of the genitive is typical of Slavic languages. The same strategy is also observed in Trakai Karaim, Halič Karaim, and Gagauz:

- (4) *baš-ī at-nīn* (Trakai Karaim)
 head-POSS3 horse-GEN
 ‘The horse’s head’

The modifier marked with the suffix *+nkl* directly follows the modified entity or can be separated by clitics as *da* ‘also’, *glna* ‘only’ or by words, which can be described as short predicates, *bar*, *yuk(tir)*, *bula*, *küp*, etc.

- (5) *ul bīrni-gan čibik tal-nīkī* (MKuzn.)
 DEM germinate-PART wand willow-GEN
 ‘That’s a germinated willow wand’ (i.e., branch with blossoms)
- (6) *atxot-lar gīna kīšī-nīkī kal-ir* (MKuzn.)
 waste-PL only person-GEN remain-AOR
 ‘Only the waste among human being will remain’

(7) *ış-ï küp müškü-nükü* (MTmn.)
 work-POSS3 many hemp-GEN
 ‘There is a lot to do with hemp’

(8) *kamaz-lar-ï bar xalik-niki* (MKuzn.)
 truck-PL-POSS3 EXIST people-GEN
 ‘The people have trucks’

The frame ‘NP X NP+*nkl*’ helps to present the most important information before the less important, to emphasize it. Such a rhema-thema structuring is a characteristic of East Slavic languages. This strategy serves for evaluating the information as unexpected, surprising, remarkable, and conspicuous.

The use of +*nkl* as a genitive marker does not implicate a full abandonment of +*nlg*. Both suffixes are used in parallel.

The cases, where the +*nlg* ~ +*nln* suffix is preferred:

- 1) in set expressions (9),
- 2) as the part of a correlative construction (10),
- 3) in the presence of other modifiers along with the genitive (11), and
- 4) when the modified noun is used in an oblique case (12)–(13).

(9) *axirät küñ-ü-nün alämät-lär-ï* (MKuzn.)
 afterlife day-POSS3-GEN omen-PL-POSS3
 ‘The omens of the apocalypse’

(10) *şul tabişmak-ni kım dırıs tutır-a,*
 DEM riddle-ACC who correct implement-PRS
şuniñ bul-ir tay-ï (MTmn.)
 DEM.GEN be-AOR foal-POSS3
 ‘Who solves this riddle, to that person the foal will belong’

(11) *bütün xabar-lar-ni, ves dñnya-nin ikññi yñz-ün-dä*
 all news-PL-ACC whole world-GEN second surface-POSS3-LOC
bul-gan xabar-lar-ni süli-y (MKuzn.)
 occur-PART news-PL-ACC tell-PRS
 ‘It tells all the news, the news which occur in the other part of the whole world’

(12) *allahu täälä anin gñ'ax-ï-n kiçir-ir* (MKuzn.)
 Allah Ta'ala his sin-POSS3-ACC forgive-AOR
 ‘Allah Ta'ala will forgive his sin’

(13) *xatın-nar gına süri-y-lar xäl-äxväl-ï-n yäş kilñ-niñ* (MKuzn.)
 woman-PL only ask-PRS-3PL conditions-POSS3-ACC young bride-GEN
 ‘Only women ask for the conditions of young bride’

The modifier with +*nkl* appears very rarely in an oblique case, also here often as an afterthought.

(14) *kul-lar-in-da ak pirčätkä malay-lar-nikın-da* (MLmb.)
 hand-PL-POSS3-LOC white glove boy-PL-GEN-LOC
 ‘In their hands are white gloves, in the boys’ hands’

- (15) *kiyāw al-a šäl-nï katni-si-niki-n* (MKuzn.)
 brother-in-law take-PRS shawl-ACC wife-POSS3-GEN-ACC
 ‘The brother-in-law takes the shawl of his wife’

3. Verb arguments

Whereas the position of a noun object depends on the discourse structure of the sentence, there is a strong tendency to place the infinitive, which has the suffix *+(A/I)rgA*, after its head:

- (16) *šundiŷ waxit tiyış yit-ärgä* (MKuzn.)
 such time MOD achieve-INF
 ‘Such times should come’
- (17) *anı bul-a işlä-rgä ačily kamir-dan* (MTmn.)
 DEM.AKK MOD-PRS make-INF leavened dough-ABL
 ‘It can be made from leavened dough’

4. Subordinate clauses

4.1 Right branching

Mishar Tatar can apply the right-branching subordination methods of clauses with finite verbs by using the relative pronoun *kasʹi* ‘which’ (< *kaysi*), relative adverbs *kaya* ‘where’, and *kačan* ‘when’ in relative and temporal clauses. In a nominal relative clause, the nominal relative pronoun refers to something known and concrete, which can be specified.

4.1.1 The relative pronoun *kasʹi*

The relative pronoun *kasʹi* ‘which’ (sometimes *kaysi*) is very common in the most Mishar sub-dialects. The relative clauses introduced with the relative pronoun *kasʹi* are obligatorily postnominal and follow the noun phrase which they modify. The antecedent demands the agreement of the relative pronoun in number. It is not compulsory that the relative clauses directly follow the noun phrase that they modify. A relative clause can be represented by a nominal sentence and be positioned between the subject and the predicate of the matrix clause.

- (18) *patpisaʹ it-t-ï imam [kasʹi kit-t-ï]* (MLmb.)
 sign do-PST-3SG imam REL.which go-PST-3SG
 ‘Those imam has signed, who has gone’
- (19) *minim malay-im šul [kaysi firunt-ta ül-d-ï]* (MKrsn.)
 my boy-POSS1SG DEM REL.which front-LOC die-PST-3SG
 ‘My boy is those, who died at the front’
- (20) *nuriya apa [kaysi kifin-när-nï pič-üčï]*
 Nuriya older-sister REL.which body’s.robe-PL-ACC cut-PART
zvaniʹ it-ä üz-im-ä (MLmb.)
 call do-PRS P-POSS-1SG-DAT
 ‘Nuriya *apa*, who cuts dead body’s robes, phones me’

The relative pronoun is governed by its role in the subordinated clause, not in the main clause. In other words, the case of the relative pronoun is determined by its function in the clause.

- (21) *kargan-a-m, di-y, allahu täälä bīlän*
 swear-PRS-1SG say-PRS Allah Ta'ala POST.with
 [*kas'ī-nīn kul-īn-da minim zanīm*] (MKuzn.)
 REL.which-GEN hand-POSS3-LOC my soul-POSS1SG
 'I swear, he says, with Allah Ta'ala, in Whose hands is my soul'

The antecedent demands the agreement of the relative pronoun in number. The plural form is used with and without a possessive suffix:

- (22) *ä üzgä kīšī-lār [kas'ī-lar čin tīt-ärgä bar-ir-lar],*
 and other person-PL REL.which-PL really destroy-INF go-AOR-3PL
alar indī dinsiz gīnä (MKuzn.)
 they well godless only
 'And the other people who really go to destroy (it), well, those are just godless'

- (23) *bar šindiy kīšī-lār*
 EXIST such person-PL
 [*kas'ī-lar-ī iš-kä zawapsiz kari-y-lar*] (MČst.)
 REL.which-PL-POSS3 work-DAT irresponsible regard-PRS-3PL
 'There are such people who irresponsibly regard the job'

The relative pronoun *kaysī* is also actively used in Trakai Karaim and Halič Karaim in the same function (cp. Musaev 1964: 223, 325f.). There, the use of the possessive suffix in the plural form is obligatory.

4.1.2 Relative adverbs

4.1.2.1 The relative adverb *kaya* 'where'

The word *kaya* 'where' can also be used to introduce a relative clause expressing a place:

- (24) *bar mäkkä-dä xaram mäčit-ī,*
 EXIST Mecca-LOC Haram mosque-POSS3
xaram mäčit-ī [kabätulla kaya] (MKuzn.)
 Haram mosque-POSS3 Kabatullah REL.where
 'There is a Haram mosque in Mecca, the Haram mosque, where Kabatullah is'

Again, besides Russian, the similarity can be found in Trakai Karaim and Halič Karaim:

- (25) *men tanī-d-īm bu övčük-nü*
 I recognize-PST-1SG DEM small.house-ACC
 [*kayda ös-t-üm*] (Trakai Karaim)
 REL.where grow-PST-1SG
 'I have recognized this small house where I grew up'

4.1.2.2 Temporal sentences introduced by the conjunction *kačan* ‘when’

Adverbial sentences with temporal meaning are introduced with the adverb *kačan* ‘when’. In such sentences, predicates are normally finite verb forms (26). However, they can be also infinite (27):

(26) [*kačan süli-y-lär*] *angar-mi-y-m* (MKrsn.)
 when talk-PRS-3PL understand-NEG-PRS-1SG
 ‘When they talk, I don’t understand’

(27) [*kačan yir yütkač*], *bälki*,
 when earth swallow-CV maybe
ara-lar-ın-da *şundiş kiş-lär bul-ır* (MKuzn.)
 among-PL-POSS3-LOC such person-PL be-AOR
 ‘When the earth swallows (them) up, maybe such people will be among them’

The conjunction *kačan* ‘when’ introducing subordinated clauses with temporal meaning can be found in Trakai Karaim and Halič Karaim in two forms: *kačan* (Trakai Karaim) and *kacan* (Halič Karaim) (cp. Musaev 1964: 224):

(28) [*kacan hodza yarlı-ni kista-y-d*]
 when rich poor-ACC oppress-PRS-3SG
bulut kuyas-ni kapla-y-d (Halič Karaim, proverb)
 cloud sun-ACC cover-PRS-3SG
 ‘When the rich oppresses the poor, the cloud covers the sun’

4.1.3 Borrowed subordinating conjunctions

There are also subordinating conjunctions borrowed from Russian without replacing them with their Tatar counterparts: *što* ‘that’ and *patamuştı* ‘because’.

(29) *min indı aŕ-ı-ım uže diy-ä [što bızın imam kit-t-ı]* (MKuzn.)
 I well tell-PST-1SG already say-PRS that our imam go-PST-3SG
 ‘He says “Well, I told already, that our imam has gone”’

(30) [*bigıräk tä uñay müşkü-ni maruz artı bälä-rgä*],
 extremely CONJ easy hemp-ACC frost POST.after bind-INF
patamuştı [müşkü yümşar-a] (MLmb.)
 because hemp soften-PRS
 ‘It’s extremely easy to bind hemp after frost, because the hemp softens’

4.1.4 Direct speech

The placement of a direct speech follows the same pattern – the direct speech is placed after the reporting verb:

(31) *yarlı aŕ-a [bar-ıyk pažaluy patča-ga]* (MTmn.)
 poor tell-PRS go-VOL1PL I.suppose czar-DAT
 ‘The poor says: “I suppose, let’s go to the czar”’

(32) *aŕ [üz-ım bıl-d-ım]* (MTmn.)
 tell.IMP2SG self-POSS1SG realize-PST-1SG
 ‘Tell (him): “I realized it by myself”’

The dominant strategy in Turkic languages is the placement of the reporting verb after the direct speech connected with an infinitive form of the verb *de-* ‘say’ (e.g., *dep* or *diye*, cp. Kyrgyz *süyömin dep ayt* ‘Tell that you love’).

5 Correlative clauses

Mishar Tatar can produce correlative clauses alongside with Trakai Karaim and Halič Karaim, but also Turkish. Here, there must be a demonstrative phrase in the main clause associated with the correlative clause.

(33) *kayan_i al-d-iñ, [šul urin-ga]_i kuy* (MCna.)
 where.ABL take-PST-2SG DEM place-DAT put.IMP.2SG
 ‘Put it in the place you took it’

(34) *kim närsä_i tili-y, šun_i kitir-ä-lär* (MSrg.)
 who what wish-PRS DEM.ACC bring-PRS-3PL
 ‘They bring that, what one wants’

(35) *yul-in-da kim_i pirut üçri-y, šunarga_i bir-ä* (MMäl.)
 way-POSS3-LOC who first meet DEM.DAT give-PRS
 ‘Whom he meets on his way first, to that person he gives (it)’

6 Dependent interrogative clauses

The implied questions formed with interrogatives or with an interrogative particle can be embedded as a complement clause in a complex sentence. The interrogative clauses play the role of a subject or of an object and appear in their positions. The verbs of thinking and reporting verbs are used.

(36) *küda üz-ï ät-ä*
 affiancer self-POSS3 tell-PRS
[kas'ï at-ni kim iv-in-ä yibär-irgä] (MTmn.)
 which horse-ACC who house-POSS3-DAT send-INF
 ‘The affiancer tells himself which horse to send to whose house’

(37) *[sin närsä ät-ä-siñ rinat-ka] ul aña-mi-y* (MLmb.)
 you what tell-PRS-2SG Rinat-DAT he understand-NEG-PRS
 ‘(That,) What you tell to Rinat, he doesn’t understand’

(38) *aña-mi-y-m [nästä süli-y-siñ]* (MSrg.)
 understand-NEG-PRS-1SG what talk-PRS-2SG
 ‘I don’t understand what you are talking’

7 Discourse-Pragmatic Structures

As in Russian, the preferable placement of the constituent expressing new information in the discourse is clause-final, after the finite verb:

(39) *birin birin zaman-da, bir awil-da,*
 past past time-LOC INDEF village-LOC
tir-gan [bir bay, bir säwdägär, män bir yartı]_{new}.
 live-PRF INDEF reach INDEF merchant POST.with INDEF poor

[*alar ikäw*]_{presupposed} *čik-kan-nar* [*säwdä-gä*]_{new} .
 they both go.out-PRF-3PL trade-DAT
 [*yarli-nin*]_{presupposed} *bul-a* [*at-i*]_{new} ,
 poor-GEN be-PRS horse-POSS3
 [*säwdägär-nin*]_{presupposed} [*arba-si*]_{new} (MTmn.)
 merchant-GEN cart-POSS3
 ‘In very old times, in a village lived a reach (person), a merchant, and a poor (person).
 They both went out for trade. The poor has a horse, the merchant has a cart’

(40) *kiyäv bir-ä kiz-ga [yžik]*_{new} (MTmn.)
 bridegroom give-PRS girl-DAT ring
 ‘The bridegroom gives the girl a ring’

(41) *wä läkin kīm anī sülä-t-ä?*
 but who him talk-CAUS-PRS
*anī sülä-t-ä [allahu täälä]*_{new} (MKuzn.)
 him talk-CAUS-PRS Allah Ta’ala
 ‘But who lets him talk? It’s Allah Ta’ala Who lets him talk!’

(42) *mīnan kit-t-ī [tuy]*_{new} *anan kil-d-ī [tukiz mašina]*_{new} ,
 DEM.ABL go-PST-3SG wedding DEM.ABL come-PST-3SG nine car
 [*tuy*]_{presupposed} *bäk zur idī* (MTmn.)
 wedding very big PST.COP
 ‘From here started the wedding (celebrations). (...) Then came nine cars. The wedding
 celebration was great’

However, the position of the identificational focus remains preverbal.

(43) *tilivizir-ga [sväz’]_{i-focus} kiräk-mi-y,* [*iliktřičistvi*]_{i-focus} *kiräk* (MKuzn.)
 television.set connection be.necessary-NEG-PRS electricity necessary
 ‘A TV doesn’t need a connection, what it needs is electricity’

8 Questions

As in Slavic languages, question words (wh-words in English) are typically clause-initial.

(44) *ničik ul tabišmak-nī bž bīl-iyik?* (MTmn.)
 how DEM riddle-ACC we guess-VOL1PL
 ‘How are we supposed to guess that riddle?’

(45) *kīm siz-gä bu mäsälä-nī tiš-t-i?* (MTmn.)
 who you-DAT DEM task-ACC solve-PST-3SG
 ‘Who has solved this task for you?’

(46) *kīm-nikī bu yžik? kīm münü išlä-d-i?* (MXvl.)
 who-GEN DEM ring who DEM.ACC do-PST-3SG
 ‘To whom does this ring belong? Who did this?’

An adverb precedes the interrogatives:

(47) *tünä kīm-nī yäšīn suk-t-i?* (MKuzn.)
 yesterday who-ACC lightning strike-PST-3SG
 ‘Whom did lightning strike yesterday?’

9 The position of the particles *imış* and *ikän*

The placement of the particles *imış* and *ikän*, which express indirectivity and mirativity, corresponds with the placement of their Russian counterparts (e.g., the word *okazyvaetsja* ‘It has emerged that’):

- (48) *bak-sa-m, ikän pauza-da tir-a* (MLmb.)
 look-COND-1SG MIR pause-LOC stand-PRS
 ‘I look – it’s on pause!’
- (49) *ñ-ığız ikän zur* (Maxmutova 1978: 254)
 house-POSS2PL MIR big
 ‘Your house is big!’
- (50) *sin imış süli-y-äl-ä-sñ mı?* (MKuzn.)
 you MIR speak-CV-be.able-PRS-2SG Q
 ‘You can speak?’
- (51) *ul ikän bür padşa-nın kız-i* (Kakuk 1996: 97)
 DEM MIR INDEF padishah-GEN daughter-POSS3
 ‘Turns out, she is a daughter of a padishah!’

10 Conclusion

Mishar Tatar demonstrates many syntactic features which are not typical of Turkic languages and can only be explained by the contact phenomenon.

At the noun phrase level, there is a genitive modifier which is placed after the modified noun. In contrast to other contact influenced languages as Trakai Karaim, Haliç Karaim or Gagauz, in which the modifier can also appear after the modified noun, the possessive suffix of the modified noun is omitted in the formation of compounds, whereas the modifier always carries the genitive suffix.

In a verb phrase, the tendency to place the infinitive after its head is observed.

Right branching in the clause subordination is also possible by use of the relative pronouns and relative adverbs. The native junctors are based on Russian ones. Although relative and correlative structures can also be found in other Turkic languages characterized by contact with Indo-European languages, their presence in Mishar Tatar is strengthened by the impact of Russian. The placement of direct speech after the reporting verb and the use of the dependent interrogative clauses with finite verb forms can be regarded as a copy of subordinative structures in Russian.

On the discourse-pragmatic level, the position of new information is at the end of a clause, as in Russian. While the position of the identificational focus remains immediately in front of the predicate core, the interrogatives appears as a clause-initial. The particles *imış* and *ikän*, which are normally clause-final in Turkic languages, occur in the same positions as their Russian counterparts.

All of these features described above correspond to the features of Slavic languages, especially of Russian. Due to this fact, the syntactic developments in Mishar Tatar should be of concern as a result of the strong impact of Russian.

Abbreviations

ABL – Ablative	MOD – Modal
ACC – Accusative	NEG – Negation
AOR – Aorist	NP – Noun phrase
CAUS – Causative	P – Pronoun
COND – Conditional	PART – Participle
CONJ – Conjunction	PL – Plural
COP – Copula	POSS – Possessive
CV – Converb	POST – Postposition
DAT – Dative	PRF – Perfect
DEM – Demonstrative pronoun	PRS – Present tense form
EXIST – Existence copula	PST – Past tense form
GEN – Genitive	Q – Question particle
IMP – Imperative	REL – Relative pronoun
INF – Infinitive	SG – Singular
LOC – Locative	VOL – Volitive
MIR – Mirative	

References

- Bayazitova, F. 2003: *Tatar-mišär ruxi mirası* [Spiritual Heritage of Mishar Tatars], Saransk.
- Csató, É. 2010. A typological coincidence: Word order properties in Trakai Karaim biblical translations. Bengisu Rona & Eser Erguvanlı-Taylan (eds.) *Festschrift for Karl Zimmer*. Turcologica. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Kakuk, Z. 1996: *Mischär-Tatarische Texte mit Wörterverzeichnis*, Szeged.
- Maxmutova, L. 1978. *Opyt issledovanija tjurkskix jazykov. Mišarskij dialekt tatarskogo jazyka*, Moscow.
- Musaev, K. 2003: *Sintaksis karaimskogo jazyka*, Moscow.
- Ramazanova, D. & Xayretdinova, T. (eds.) 2008. *Tatar xalık söyläşläre* [Tatar folk dialects], Kazan.
- Siewierska, A. & Uhlířová, L. 1998. ‘An overview of word order in Slavic languages’, in: Siewierska, A. (ed.) 1998. *Constituent Order in the Languages of Europe*, Berlin / New York, 105–150.
- Wade, T. 2011. *A Comprehensive Russian Grammar*, Oxford.